MINISTRY OF URBAN AND INFRASTRUCTURE

AUGUST
2025

CONSTRUCTION INDUSTRY TRANSFORMATION INITIATIVE

EASE OF DOING BUSINESS REFORM FRAMEWORK IN CONSTRUCTION SECTOR

ADDIS ABABA
www.mui.gov.et

Contents

Executive Summary

Ethiopia's construction sector plays a vital role in driving national transformation, industrialization, and urban development. However, the sector continues to face entrenched structural challenges; most notably corruption, procedural inefficiencies, limited professional capacity, weak institutional oversight, and outdated regulatory frameworks. These systemic issues have constrained productivity, undermined public trust, and hampered the sector's ability to attract investment, deliver quality infrastructure, and compete effectively on regional and global stages.

In alignment with Ethiopia's 10-Year Development Plan (2021–2030), this **Ease of Doing Business (EoDB) Reform Framework** developed under the framework of the recent Construction Industry Transformation Initiative presents a bold and actionable agenda to reposition the construction sector as a transparent, efficient, professional, and investment-friendly ecosystem. Grounded in national priorities and informed by global benchmarks such as the World Bank's Doing Business Index, the framework outlines an integrated reform strategy built around five core pillars:

- **Regulatory Simplification and Digital Transformation**
- **Institutional Oversight and Transparency**
- **Procurement Reform and Integrity Mechanisms**
- **Professional Capacity Building**
- **Stakeholder Empowerment and Accountability**

By contextualizing international best practices to Ethiopia's institutional and governance realities, this framework seeks to eliminate regulatory bottlenecks, modernize systems, and foster a culture of accountability and performance-based governance. The ultimate goal is to unlock the full potential of Ethiopia's construction sector as a cornerstone of sustainable economic growth and national development.

Ministry of Urban and Infrastructure
Addis Ababa, Ethiopia
August 2025

1. Ease of Doing Business Framework in the Construction Sector

In alignment with Ethiopia's 10-Year Development Plan (2021–2030), which emphasizes private sector-led growth, regulatory modernization, and structural transformation, enhancing the **ease of doing business** especially within the construction sector has emerged as a national reform imperative. The plan identifies improving the business climate as a cross-cutting enabler for economic competitiveness, job creation, and infrastructure development.

International benchmarks, such as the World Bank's Ease of Doing Business Index, evaluate a country's business environment across ten critical indicators including:

- Starting a Business,
- Dealing with Construction Permits,
- Getting Electricity,
- Registering Property,
- Getting Credit,
- Protecting Minority Investors,
- Paying Taxes,
- Trading Across Borders,
- Enforcing Contracts, and
- Resolving Insolvency.

Among these, Ethiopia historically ranks poorly in areas highly relevant to the construction sector particularly in **dealing with construction permits and starting a business,** both of which severely constrain sector efficiency, private investment, and service delivery.

Recognizing these barriers, the **Construction Industry Transformation Initiative (CITI)**, led by the Ministry of Urban and Infrastructure, has launched a targeted and comprehensive **Ease of Doing Business Framework for the Construction Sector.** This framework complements the broader national effort while focusing specifically on the **systemic and operational bottlenecks** facing construction sector actors.

2. Strategic Focus and Purpose

The framework aims to:

- **Diagnose regulatory and procedural inefficiencies** affecting construction-related approvals and permits;
- **Assess the impact of administrative burden and delays** on cost, quality, and timelines of construction projects;
- **Measure industry perception and satisfaction,** especially among professionals, investors, and service users;
- **Review the effectiveness of existing reforms** and identify policy-practice gaps; and
- **Promote evidence-based, targeted, and progressive actions** through continuous learning and innovation.
- Sustain reform momentum, a strong **performance measurement system** is being institutionalized. This system will utilize key indicators and benchmarks to:

- Track improvement over time in construction-related services;
- Highlight critical constraints, malpractices, and inefficiencies;
- Support data-driven policy and regulatory adjustments;
- Set realistic and measurable performance targets; and
- Influence behavior change and compliance among professionals, regulators, and stakeholders.

3. Corruption in the Construction Sector: Global and National Context

Corruption remains one of the most pervasive challenges affecting the construction sector worldwide. International evidence consistently ranks the construction industry among the top three most corruption-prone sectors, along with defense and extractive industries. Global estimates suggest that corruption can account for approximately 10 percent of construction sector turnover, equating to more than US$1 billion per day (ASCE, 2005). In developing countries like Ethiopia, this figure can reach or exceed 20 percent, particularly in large-scale public infrastructure projects (Campos & Pradhan, 2007).

Ethiopia’s 10-Year Development Plan (2021–2030) identifies good governance, institutional transparency, and accountability as foundational pillars for sustainable economic growth and efficient service delivery. Within this framework, combating corruption in the construction sector is prioritized as essential to improving public trust, enhancing service quality, reducing project delays, and ensuring value for money in public investment.

Corruption in construction manifests in various forms, including collusion during tendering, fraudulent billing, substandard work, favoritism in contract awards, and manipulation of procurement processes. These malpractices not only inflate costs but also distort infrastructure planning, compromise safety and quality standards, and undermine the country's socio-economic development objectives.

Research findings indicate that unchecked corruption results in the erosion of professional ethics, lack of accountability, poor project delivery, and the diversion of resources intended for public benefit. Moreover, in some contexts, construction-related corruption is linked to broader criminal networks and the financing of illicit political activities.

Addressing this issue requires a multi-faceted approach, including:

- Strengthening institutional oversight and regulatory enforcement,
- Enhancing procurement transparency through e-procurement systems,
- Promoting professional integrity and whistleblower protection,
- Building the capacity of regulatory agencies such as the Ethiopian Construction Authority (ECA),
- Encouraging community participation and social accountability in public infrastructure monitoring.

These measures are in line with Ethiopia’s development vision to create a modern, competitive, and corruption-free construction industry that supports inclusive growth, industrialization, and sustainable urbanization.

4. Corruption in Ethiopia's Construction Sector: Risks, Realities, and Reform Priorities

The Ethiopian construction sector, a key driver of national development and infrastructure transformation, faces significant challenges related to corruption. According to the World Bank-commissioned study (Goldie-Scot, 2012), corruption risks in Ethiopia's construction industry are widespread and embedded across the entire project lifecycle from policymaking to payment processing. These challenges undermine trust in institutions, waste public resources, and slow the pace of national development.

The 10-Year Development Plan of Ethiopia (2021–2030) recognizes good governance, institutional accountability, and transparency as essential conditions for realizing strategic objectives in infrastructure, industrialization, and urbanization. Accordingly, tackling corruption in the construction sector is central to improving the quality and efficiency of public infrastructure delivery.

4.1 Corruption in Policy making and Regulatory Processes

Policy formulation and regulatory oversight have been identified as high-risk areas for corruption. Weak regulatory frameworks, lack of transparency in licensing and professional registration, and inconsistent enforcement of standards have created fertile ground for rent-seeking behaviors. The concentration of regulatory authority within government institutions combined with limited institutional independence contributes to a culture of impunity and discourages whistle blowing. The reluctance of professionals to report misconduct, due to fear of retaliation and the absence of credible grievance mechanisms, has further eroded accountability.

The 10-Year Plan emphasizes the need to modernize and digitize registration and licensing systems, establish independent regulatory bodies, and promote transparency through citizen and stakeholder engagement in policy making.

4.2 Corruption in Project Management and Oversight

Management-related corruption is often enabled by the absence of strong internal controls, poor data systems, and weak technical oversight. This environment allows actors across the value chain contractors, consultants, and clients to bypass standard procedures. Research points to several root causes, including:

- Inadequate remuneration of key technical and procurement staff;
- Repeated shortlisting of under performing companies;
- Lack of accessible public data on contracts;
- Weak performance monitoring and evaluation systems.

The government's reform efforts, as outlined in the national plan, include strengthening project management capacity, increasing salaries and incentives for public professionals, and deploying integrated digital monitoring platforms to improve transparency and track delivery.

4.3 Corruption in Tendering and Procurement

The procurement process is one of the most corruption-prone stages. Common issues include:

- Sale of confidential bidding information,
- Bid rigging and collusion among contractors,
- Politically motivated contract allocations,
- Bribery and manipulation of procurement committees.

The 10-Year Development Plan stresses public procurement reform through the introduction of **e-procurement platforms,** competitive bidding enforcement, and professional procurement cadre development. It also calls for alignment with international standards to improve credibility and reduce manipulation.

4.4 Corruption during Construction Operations

Once contracts are awarded, corrupt practices often continue during execution. Contractors who engage in bribery at earlier stages may seek to recover costs by:

- Using substandard materials,
- Inflating project costs,
- Falsifying quantities and claims,
- Concealing defects with the complicity of oversight personnel.

This contributes to low-quality infrastructure, frequent delays, and increased life cycle costs. The national plan advocates for performance-based contracts, rigorous supervision protocols, and independent quality assurance mechanisms.

4.5 Corruption in Payment and Certificate Settlement

Delays and manipulation in the payment process have also been reported. Contractors may be required to make unofficial "facilitation payments" to receive legitimate entitlements. In some cases, clients deliberately delay payments to punish non-compliant companies or extract bribes. This disrupts cash flow and jeopardizes project continuity.

To address this, the 10-Year Development Plan recommends automation of payment systems, legal protections for contractors, and mandatory timelines for certificate approval and settlement.

5. Malpractices in the Construction Sector: Systemic Issues and Reform Priorities

Ethiopia's construction sector, a key pillar for delivering national infrastructure and development goals, is significantly affected by malpractices throughout the project life cycle. These malpractices not only undermine the integrity of construction processes but also present persistent corruption risks that erode public trust, increase project costs, and compromise quality and safety.

As part of various discussions and consultations with key stakeholders, malpractices across various stages—from planning and procurement to implementation and project closure—have been studied. Insights from stakeholder consultations and research evidence point to systemic and institutional causes deeply embedded in the sector's operational environment.

Once again, according to the 10-Year Development Plan (2021–2030), improving governance, transparency, and accountability in infrastructure delivery is critical for fostering inclusive economic growth. Addressing malpractices is therefore central to Ethiopia's goal of establishing a competitive, resilient, and efficient construction industry.

Root Causes of Construction Sector Malpractices

Stakeholder analysis and research identify the following interrelated factors as the main drivers of malpractices in the sector:

- **Non-compliance with Public Procurement Rules:** Widespread deviations from established procurement procedures—ranging from informal contracting to selective tendering—have opened the door for rent-seeking and inefficiencies. The lack of enforcement and inconsistent application of legal frameworks weakens fairness and transparency in competitive bidding.

- **Regulatory and Policy Gaps:** Inadequate legal provisions and absence of preventive mechanisms against malpractices have made it difficult to detect and sanction misconduct. Many regulations do not clearly define accountability standards or operational boundaries for construction actors.

- **Lack of Procedural Clarity:** The absence of comprehensive, stage-specific guidance for project participants has resulted in inconsistent practices and decision-making. This gap is particularly evident in contract administration, site supervision, and quality assurance.

- **Weak Performance Monitoring Systems:** Ethiopia's current system lacks robust, evidence-based mechanisms for assessing contractor and consultant performance. There is limited use of performance data in license renewal or bid evaluation, which reduces accountability and allows under performing firms to re-enter the market without consequence.

- **Capacity Limitations:** The sector faces a shortage of skilled professionals, especially in project management, contract administration, and procurement. The lack of continuous training and upskilling has negatively affected the sector's ability to adapt to evolving standards and technologies.

- **Poor Procurement Management:** Many public institutions exhibit weak procurement planning, poor document preparation, and limited market engagement. These weaknesses contribute to delays, inflated prices, and substandard outcomes.

- **Conflict of Interest:** Undisclosed and unmanaged conflicts of interest among personnel in client organizations, consulting firms, and procurement committees compromise the objectivity of decision-making and increase the likelihood of favoritism and fraud.

- **Ineffective Monitoring and Auditing:** Oversight systems remain reactive rather than preventive. There is limited real-time monitoring and post-implementation auditing, reducing the chances of early detection of malpractice or contract deviations.

In line with the 10-Year Development Plan, the following actions are recommended to tackle malpractices in the construction sector.

- Introduce **automated procurement platforms** and enforce compliance with standardized procedures;
- Establish **sector-wide performance evaluation systems** linked to licensing and tender qualification;
- Develop **clear operational manuals and stage-specific guidelines** for all project actors;
- Build institutional capacity through **training, certification, and career development programs;**
- Strengthen the independence and capacity of **regulatory and auditing bodies;** and
- Enhance **transparency and community engagement** in monitoring large-scale public projects.

By addressing these issues systematically, Ethiopia can lay the foundation for a modern, transparent, and professionally managed construction sector that supports its long-term development vision.

6. Direct and Indirect Warning Signs of Corruption

Corruption in the construction sector is often systemic, rather than isolated, due to the complex and project-specific nature of construction activities. The 10-Year Development Plan of Ethiopia identifies the construction industry as one of the key growth sectors requiring structural reform, transparency, and professional integrity. Understanding the warning signs both direct and indirect is essential for preventing corruption and safeguarding public investment.

The construction sector is inherently vulnerable to corruption due to several characteristics: large and complex project budgets, difficulty in verifying material usage and labor inputs, opaque contracting processes, and weak accountability frameworks. These factors allow corrupt practices to occur with minimal visibility or delayed consequences. Although warning signs do not always confirm corrupt behavior, they often indicate vulnerabilities that may facilitate malpractice.

6.1 Poor-Quality Construction

Substandard construction outcomes are one of the most visible signs of systemic malpractice. In many cases, contractors who pay bribes to secure contracts aim to recover these costs by compromising material quality, falsifying progress reports, or bribing supervisors. The consequences; such as structural failures or early deterioration; often emerge years after project completion, undermining safety and public confidence. The importance of **quality control mechanisms** and third-party inspections to address this issue is all the more important.

6.2 Inflated Costs

Inflated project costs are a major warning sign of procurement-related corruption. These may result from:

- Bid rigging or collusion among contractors;
- Repeated contract variations and unjustified cost escalations;
- Inflated measurements or billing for unexecuted work; and
- Limited market competition due to opaque shortlisting or restrictive eligibility criteria.

The on-going initiative advocates for competitive procurement processes, strengthened contract management, and digital transparency tools like e-procurement platforms to curb cost inflation.

6.3 Delayed Project Implementation

Chronic delays are often linked to:

- Incompetence or low capacity of awarded contractors;
- Weak prequalification and registration systems;
- Cash flow problems due to delayed or politically influenced payments; and
- Distrust and misalignment among stakeholders.

Such delays signal deficiencies in project governance and monitoring systems. The Reform and Road map of the Construction Industry calls for robust performance tracking systems and real-time monitoring mechanisms to address this issue.

6.4 Unequal Contractual Relationships

When power imbalances exist—such as when government is the sole client—contractors may be pressured into accepting unfair terms or into corrupt engagements. Ambiguities in contracts and over-reliance on a supervising engineer's interpretation may further exacerbate risks. The DCI Road Map recommends equitable contract structures, greater transparency, and capacity building to ensure balanced relationships.

6.5 Weak Enforcement of Professional Standards

The failure to uphold ethical and technical standards among construction professionals is a root enabler of corruption. Without strong professional associations, disciplinary mechanisms, and licensing frameworks, professionals lack accountability. The Plan stresses the need to empower independent regulatory and professional bodies, enforce codes of conduct, and build a culture of integrity across the sector.

6.6 Disparities in Public vs. Private Sector Salaries

Large salary gaps between the public and private sectors create incentives for rent seeking among underpaid officials responsible for large contracts. These gaps often lead to conflict of interest or forced facilitation payments. Flexible pay structures and performance-linked incentives to attract and retain qualified professionals is important.

6.7 Discretionary Powers and Barriers to Market Entry

Excessive discretionary powers held by government officials; especially in contract award, licensing, and certification; pose major risks for abuse and favoritism. Similarly, opaque processes in registration or access to finance and equipment discourage fair competition. These risks are acknowledged in the national reform agenda, which promotes **transparent, rule-based systems** and **streamlined procedures** to open the market and limit discretionary interference.

7. Inefficiencies and Capacity Gaps in Ethiopia's Construction Sector

The performance of Ethiopia's construction sector is constrained by widespread inefficiencies and persistent capacity limitations across all phases of the project life cycle. These structural weaknesses contribute to project delays, cost overruns, quality defects, and ultimately, the underachievement of national infrastructure goals. Addressing these constraints is central to the reform and modernization agenda set out in Ethiopia's 10-Year Development Plan, which prioritizes institutional efficiency, professionalization of the industry, and improved governance in project delivery.

7.1 Pre-qualification Process and Procurement Bottlenecks

The current pre-qualification system, while intended to ensure quality bidders, often lacks transparency and has inadvertently encouraged collusion. Prolonged prequalification-to-tender intervals result in data obsolescence and low bidder participation, undermining competitive procurement. The adoption of automated procurement systems, standardized procedures, and clear evaluation criteria to foster fairness and efficiency is promoted.

7.2 Contractual Ambiguities

Frequent disputes arise from unclear contract clauses, particularly around defaults, taxation, and price adjustments. The misinterpretation of these provisions delays decision-making and increases litigation. Standardizing contract templates and aligning them with international best practices is recommended in national reform strategies.

7.3 Delays in Land Acquisition and Right of Way Clearance

Project mobilization is often delayed due to unresolved ROW and land acquisition issues. The absence of pre-bid clearance and compensation mechanisms creates significant implementation hurdles. Enforcing land readiness certificates before contract awards and improving coordination between infrastructure agencies and land authorities may be recommended.

7.4 Weak Project Management and Administrative Governance

The sector suffers from inadequate project oversight, lax enforcement of timelines and budgets, and limited innovation. These inefficiencies are linked to low managerial capacity and a lack of integrated planning tools. Emphasis should be given for the need for professional project management offices (PMOs) and modern project delivery methods (e.g., EPC, PPP).

7.5 Ambiguity in Roles and Responsibility of Stakeholders

There is widespread confusion regarding the role of the Independent Engineer and weak enforcement of responsibilities by contractors, consultants, and client agencies. Empowering supervisory bodies, professionalizing contract administration, and promoting a **spirit of partnership** between clients and contractors is a core reform recommendation.

7.6 Delays in Decision-Making and Variation Approvals

Project progress is often hindered by bureaucratic inertia. Site-level managers are rarely empowered to make timely decisions on variations, claims, or extensions. This lack of delegated authority and clear accountability structures results in prolonged disputes. Institutional reforms should aim to decentralize decision-making and enforce strict turnaround timelines.

7.7 Delays in Payment Processing

Late payments sometimes withheld without justification contradict standard contract terms and erode contractor capacity. Establishing automated, transparent payment workflows and enforcing payment timelines are essential components of improving project cash flow and contractor performance.

7.8 Ineffective Dispute Resolution Mechanisms

Dispute resolution bodies (DRBs) are often underutilized or established only after disputes emerge. Their decisions are frequently delayed and rarely enforced. To address this, early DRB formation, capacity-building for arbitrators, and binding alternative dispute resolution mechanisms are promoted.

7.9 Misuse of Joint Ventures (JVs) and Subcontracting Challenges

JVs are often misused, with lead partners playing minimal roles while junior partners who lack implementation capacity manage project execution. This violates the spirit of joint responsibility. Stricter enforcement of JV obligations and periodic performance audits are necessary reforms.

On the other hand, unregulated subcontracting has become prevalent, with main contractors outsourcing nearly all activities to unqualified local firms. This undermines project quality and continuity. The Plan calls for regulation of subcontracting practices, including fair treatment of subcontractors and qualification requirements.

7.10 Poor-Quality Designs and Ineffective Supervision

Inadequate design studies and rushed preparation often lead to variations and delays. Supervision consultants bound by time-based contracts lack incentives to ensure quality or efficiency. Shifting to performance-based contracts for design and supervision services, along with improved design review procedures is recommend in possible instances.

7.11 Unrealistic Consultant Fees and Weak Accountability

Consultants often submit low bids, compromising the quality of designs and field investigations. There is no performance monitoring or liability for consultants' errors. It is important to work with relevant organs to improve this practice, establishing performance evaluation systems and introducing liability frameworks to ensure consultant accountability.

7.12 Human Resource and Skill Gaps

The construction sector suffers from a shortage of skilled labor and qualified professionals. Despite claims of cheap labor availability, productivity is low and equipment downtime is significantly high due to lack of training and certification. Most workers lack formal skills, and professionals have limited exposure to modern techniques. It is urgently important to:

- Expanding TVET programs for trades like masonry, welding, carpentry, and mechanics;
- Creating certification and re-certification frameworks; and
- Offering continuous professional development (CPD) for engineers, planners, and managers.

7.13 Misalignment of Academia and Industry Needs

Curricula in engineering and construction management often fail to address industry-relevant competencies such as legal, environmental, financial, and social aspects of construction. The Construction Industry Reform Initiative calls for industry-academia collaboration to realign training programs with evolving market needs, especially for complex, large-scale infrastructure projects.

7.14 Weak Corporate and Organizational Culture

Many mid-to-large construction firms remain family-owned and operate without professional management structures. Poor financial planning, misallocation of mobilization advances, and inefficient resource use are common. Reform efforts must include corporate governance training, promotion of professional management practices, and financial reporting standards to improve business sustainability and competitiveness.

8. Launching the Ease of Doing Business Framework in Construction Sector

It is important to recall that the 10-Year Development Plan of the nation (2021–2030) highlights infrastructure development as one of its key strategic pillars and emphasizes the need for an efficient, transparent, and competitive construction sector. The plan prioritizes enhancing service delivery, eliminating bureaucratic hurdles, and fostering good governance to improve the ease of doing business in all economic sectors, especially in construction, which remains critical for national growth, employment creation, and urban transformation.

Recognizing this, the **Ease of Doing Business Framework for Ethiopia's Construction Sector** aims not only to reduce corruption and inefficiencies but also to build institutional capacity, professionalism, and regulatory clarity across the value chain. The framework proposes integrated reforms aligned with the four key enablers: good governance, institutional capacity, accountability, and private sector development.

9. International Best Practices and Lessons

International experience shows that targeted, practical reforms in the construction sector can significantly reduce delays, improve transparency, enhance service quality, and attract private investment. This section presents country-specific case studies followed by cross-cutting success factors relevant to Ethiopia's context.

9.1 Country-Specific Reform Case Studies

Rwanda: Single-Window Permit Systems and E-Procurement

- **Key Innovation:** Centralized *Construction One-Stop Center* providing approvals for permits, land use, and environmental clearances.
- **Impact:**
  - Reduced permit processing time to fewer than 30 days.
  - Streamlined coordination between urban planning, utility, and safety regulators.
  - Introduced online building permit application systems integrated with GIS tools.

- **Lessons for Ethiopia:**
  - Institutionalize multi-agency collaboration through digital platforms.
  - Enable permit tracking and timeline enforcement mechanisms.
  - Promote decentralized access through regional service kiosks.

Singapore: Transparent Grading and Licensing of Contractors

- **Key Innovation:** The *Contractor Registration System* categorizes firms by financial capacity, technical experience, safety record, and past performance.
- **Impact:**
  - Enforced quality-based contractor selection over lowest-bidder approach.
  - Promoted fairness and reduced litigation through pre-qualified grading.
  - Enhanced public trust through publication of firm grades and blacklists.
- **Lessons for Ethiopia:**
  - Adopt a tiered contractor grading system tied to performance metrics.
  - Make registration databases public to improve transparency and investor confidence.
  - Enforce penalties and suspensions based on performance audits.

Chile: Real-Time Construction Oversight Dashboards

- **Key Innovation:** Nationwide *digital dashboards* to monitor project progress, budgets, compliance, and variation claims in real-time.
- **Impact:**
  - Improved project delivery timelines through proactive oversight.
  - Flagged early risks (cost overruns, delays, design issues) through automated alerts.
  - Enhanced citizen participation via publicly accessible dashboards.
- **Lessons for Ethiopia:**
  - Develop GIS and IoT-enabled dashboards for federal and regional construction projects.
  - Integrate data into dispute prevention and audit systems.
  - Encourage civil society monitoring of large-scale infrastructure investments.

United Arab Emirates (UAE): Pre-Cleared Land Certification Before Bidding

- **Key Innovation:** Government ensures *land readiness* (ROW clearance, compensation, utility relocation) prior to tendering.
- **Impact:**
  - Prevented post-award delays and legal disputes.
  - Made public-private partnerships (PPPs) more bankable.
  - Reduced procurement and mobilization uncertainty for contractors.
- **Lessons for Ethiopia:**
  - Mandate pre-bid clearance of land and right-of-way (ROW).
  - Coordinate between land agencies and sector ministries before tender.
  - Use blockchain-based land registries for secure documentation.

South Korea: Smart Contract Administration Tools

- **Key Innovation:** Deployment of *automated contract management platforms* integrated with payment, variation approval, and document control.
- **Impact:**
  - Reduced dispute resolution timeframes.
  - Enhanced predictability of execution timelines and costs.
  - Enabled audit-ready digital trails for transparency and control.
- **Lessons for Ethiopia:**
  - Introduce e-contracting tools (based on FIDIC) to standardize administration.
  - Link contract execution with e-payment platforms for real-time disbursement tracking.
  - Provide mobile-based site access for supervision and inspections.

9.2 Cross-Cutting Enablers of Success

The above reforms share common systemic enablers that Ethiopia can adopt or adapt:

A. Digital Transformation and E-Governance

- Full digitization of permitting, registration, procurement, and contract administration.
- Real-time dashboards, audit trails, and mobile access to field data.

B. Independent and Accountable Regulatory Oversight

- Creation of autonomous regulatory bodies with enforcement powers.
- Professional councils with legal mandates to certify, discipline, and audit practitioners.

C. Capacity Building through Academia-Industry Partnerships

- Co-designed TVET and university curricula tailored to real construction challenges.
- National CPD systems for engineers, quantity surveyors, project managers, etc.
- Dual training models (classroom + industry exposure).

D. Whistleblower Protection and Anti-Corruption Frameworks

- Legal protections for those reporting corruption in contracts, licensing, or payments.
- Anonymous reporting channels and independent grievance redress mechanisms.

E. Institutionalized Public-Private Dialogue (PPD)

- Standing platforms for consultation on codes, reforms, and procurement policies.
- Formal engagement of construction industry associations in policy review.
- Use of PPD forums for feedback on contractor grading, regulations, and technology standards.

Summary Table: International Best Practice Alignment

Country	Key Reform Feature	Applicability to Ethiopia
Rwanda	One-stop digital permit portal	Model for federal-regional integration
Singapore	Contractor grading and licensing system	Transparent selection and accountability tool
Chile	Real-time oversight dashboards	Monitoring and citizen engagement
UAE	Pre-cleared land certification	Risk reduction for contractors and lenders
South Korea	Smart contract administration	Dispute prevention and project control

10. Strategic Pillars of the Reform

10.1 Systemic and Institutional Oversight

The Ethiopian construction sector suffers from fragmented accountability mechanisms and unclear lines of authority. A core reform area is strengthening regulatory bodies that ensure transparency in procurement, licensing, and project performance audits. Sector's systematic performance oversight, spot checks, performance reviews, and oversee grievance redress mechanisms areas should receive attention across the nation.

10.2 Regulatory Simplification and Legal Clarity

To reduce transaction costs and delays, the government would continue standardizing construction contract templates, reduce unnecessary layers in permit acquisition, and make land acquisition and right-of-way processes predictable and enforceable. Procurement procedures must be aligned with the revised Public Procurement Proclamation, ensuring competitive, fair, and transparent contractor selection.

10.3 Professionalization and Industry Governance

Strengthening professional associations and councils would receive attention. Professional bodies must have the mandate and resources to set and enforce ethical and technical standards for contractors, consultants, and public sector clients. A national grading, rating and certification system should be introduced to improve quality assurance and drive merit-based recognition.

10.4 Capacity Building and Innovation Promotion

A foundational recommendation is to address the skill and capacity gap in both the public and private sector. This includes:

- Rolling out continuous professional development programs,
- Upgrading technical and vocational training curricula in consultation with the industry,
- Promoting innovation in contract management through digital tools and project management systems, and
- Building the capacity of regulatory agencies through knowledge transfer, cross-sectoral collaboration, and incentive-based performance systems.

11. Priority Interventions for Ease of Doing Business

11.1 Risk-Based Project Management and Planning

The DCI Road Map calls for risk-sensitive and resilient infrastructure planning. In this light, contract administration guidelines should include clear mechanisms for dispute resolution, timely payment enforcement, scope management, and risk allocation. Road and infrastructure agencies must complete pre-construction requirements—such as land acquisition, permits, and environmental clearances—before awarding contracts.

11.2 Fair and Transparent Procurement

Procurement systems must be digitized and linked to a centralized Contractor and Consultant Registry System or should be readily available that allows for tracking past performance, rating professionalism, and ensuring quality-driven contract awards. Bid evaluations should balance price, past performance, technical capacity, and financial standing.

11.3 Technology-Enabled Oversight and Monitoring

Embracing digital transformation is central to the overall government initiative and modernizing construction governance. Construction management software, geospatial tracking of projects, real-time dashboards, and mobile-based auditing tools should be integrated into the public project life cycle.

11.4 Strengthening Accountability and Integrity

The lack of accountability fuels inefficiencies and corruption. Strengthened internal audit units, transparent procurement platforms, and a publicly accessible performance database of contractors and consultants will reduce misconduct. Whistleblower protections and anti-corruption education must be embedded across all institutions.

11.5 Empowering Industry Associations

The Road map calls for broader private sector and associations participation. Construction associations should be empowered to:

- Register and grade members based on performance,
- Advocate for fair industry practices,
- Facilitate skills training and career growth, and
- Serve as a bridge between government and private sector for consultations on regulatory changes.

11.6 Inclusive Stakeholder Engagement

Establishing platforms for regular dialogue among clients, contractors, consultants, academia, and civil society will improve communication, resolve disputes early, and build shared responsibility for project success. Civil society organizations can be involved in community-level project monitoring and budget tracking to enhance transparency.

12. Measuring the Drivers and Success Factors in the Construction Sector

To effectively implement the transformation agenda in Ethiopia's construction industry and ensure alignment with the 10-Year Development Plan, it is essential to establish a multi-level performance measurement framework. This framework should assess both the enabling environment and the operational efficiency of actors within the sector. The importance of performance-based operation, monitoring, evidence-driven policy making, and continuous improvement—principles that underpin this measurement framework have been emphasized.

12.1 Macro-Level Indicators (Enabling Environment)

1. Policy and Regulatory Governance

The strength, clarity, and consistency of policies and regulations significantly affect sector efficiency. Key indicators at this level include:

- Alignment of sector policies with national development priorities.
- Existence and enforcement of standardized procurement and contract laws.
- Institutional reforms to reduce bureaucratic inefficiencies and corruption.

2. Operational Construction Council

Effective functioning of the National Construction Industry Council and relevant bodies under MUI is critical. These should proactively:

- Be ready and committed to oversee and ensure effective performance of the construction industry.
- Set and enforce professional standards,
- Oversee licensing, classification, and discipline of contractors and consultants, and
- Serve as a central policy coordination platform.

3. Access to Credit and Sustainable Financing

The availability of construction finance—especially for small and medium firms—will determine the sector's inclusivity and resilience. Indicators include:

- Proportion of firms with access to working capital.
- Establishment of national equipment banks or leasing programs.
- Implementation of SME-friendly loan schemes.
- Availability of project-based financing and performance bonds.
- Introduction of credit scoring and digital finance for contractors and consultants.
- Increased foreign currency availability for import of equipment/materials.

12.2 Industry-Level Drivers (Institutional and Market Dynamics)

1. Ease of Starting Construction Business and Obtaining Permits

A streamlined and transparent registration and permitting system is essential with sets of target of reducing the number of days and procedures required to start a business. Indicators should assess:

- Reduce administrative bottlenecks and number of procedures required to register a construction/consulting firm.
- Time and cost to obtain building and environmental permits, reduce an average time to less than 10 days,
- Availability of one-stop service centers/platforms established for licensing, tax registration, and permit issuance.

2. Enhanced Market Participation

- Increased number of contractors capable of executing medium and large projects.
- Promotion of partnerships/JVs with international firms.
- Enhanced participation of private sector and diaspora investors in construction finance and contracting.

3. Profitability and Sector Attractiveness

- Average project ROI improved due to reduced costs, less downtime, and fewer disputes.
- Performance-based contracts adopted to reward quality and timely delivery.
- Private sector confidence increased through a stable policy and investment climate.

4. National Construction Price Indices

Price predictability supports fair bidding and budget planning. A national construction cost index should be maintained and updated regularly to:

- Reflect local material and labor costs.
- Support inflation adjustments in contracts.
- Enable comparative cost assessments across regions.

5. Grading, Rating, and Classification of Firms

An operational grading and performance rating system, supported by digital databases, should measure:

- Technical capacity and experience.
- Past project delivery timelines, quality, and compliance.
- Financial strength and ethical track record.

12.3 Project-Level Success Factors (Operational Excellence)

1. Clear and Standardized Contract Administration Documents

Standard contract templates reduce disputes and improve compliance. Key indicators:

- Use of FIDIC-like or government-approved standard contracts.
- Training of stakeholders in contract administration.
- Use of standard clauses for payment, claims, and penalties.

2. Efficient Contract Management and Enforcement

The capacity to manage and enforce contracts impacts timelines and quality. Measures include:

- Time taken to resolve claims and disputes.
- Percentage of projects completed within budget and schedule.
- Clarity of roles among client, contractor, and consultant.
- Standardized construction manuals and automated contract management systems introduced.
- Promotion of quality assurance labs and construction standards compliance monitoring.

3. Transparent Bidding and Procurement Processes

Procurement practices must be open, competitive, and corruption-resistant. Indicators:

- Ratio of open to restricted tenders.
- Reduction in procurement delays and increased transparency.
- Centralized digital registry of contractors, consultants, and past project performance.
- Bid protest resolution efficiency.
- Publication of tender outcomes and evaluations.

4. Fair Risk Allocation and Insurance Compliance

Balanced contracts fairly distribute project risks. Measures:

- Inclusion of risk-sharing clauses (e.g., for delays or unforeseen conditions).
- Mandatory insurance coverage for liabilities and defects.
- Use of performance and advance payment guarantees.

5. Functional Dispute Resolution Mechanisms

Robust dispute resolution mechanisms/Improved Dispute Resolution Efficiency reduce costly delays. Indicators include:

- Average time to resolve disputes through DRB or arbitration.
- Percentage of resolved cases accepted by parties.
- Availability of trained adjudicators and mediators.
- Establishment of independent Construction Dispute Tribunals.
- DRB decisions to be binding unless overturned by higher arbitration within fixed time frames.

6. Health, Safety, and Environmental (HSE) Compliance

Worker safety and environmental standards are integral to quality construction. Monitoring should cover:

- Number of HSE violations reported per project.
- Adoption of safety plans and environmental mitigation measures.
- Training hours delivered to workers and supervisors.

7. Monitoring Compliance and Performance

Project oversight is essential. KPIs to track include:

- Frequency of site inspections and compliance audits.
- Real-time reporting tools used.
- Engagement of third-party monitoring or community watchdogs.

8. Adoption of Technology Tools

Digital platforms and tools enhance transparency and efficiency. Indicators:

- Use of construction management software.
- Integration of GIS for project planning and tracking.
- Use of e-procurement systems.

9. Construction Skills and Workforce Development

The construction industry must invest in human capital. Metrics include:

- Number of certified professionals trained annually.
- Existence of a skills accreditation and renewal system.
- Partnerships between TVET institutions and industry.
- Increased availability of skilled labor via targeted TVET programs.

13. Conclusion

The transformation of Ethiopia's construction sector, as envisioned in the 10-Year Development Plan and the current DCI Initiative, requires a comprehensive, coordinated, and evidence-based approach. The sector, while critical to infrastructure-led growth and job creation, has long been hindered by regulatory inefficiencies, widespread malpractices, institutional capacity gaps, and weak governance structures. Addressing these issues is no longer optional but a national imperative to unlock inclusive economic development and sustainable infrastructure delivery.

This reform initiative; centered on the Ease of Doing Business Framework in the Construction Sector; has identified and proposed strategic actions across key/core areas: addressing malpractices, detecting warning signs of corruption, correcting inefficiencies and capacity constraints, implementing enabling regulations, enhancing contract and project management, and reinforcing sectoral accountability and transparency.

At the core of these reforms is a multidimensional Indicator Matrix designed to track the sector's transformation. It captures macro, industry, and project-level drivers such as enabling regulations, access to finance, business density, contract enforcement, health and safety standards, technology adoption, and skill availability. These metrics are directly tied to national development goals, aiming to create a vibrant, transparent, competitive, and accountable construction industry.

Key reform outcomes include:

- A streamlined and transparent regulatory and licensing environment;
- Improved access to finance and foreign currency;
- Greater participation of local and international contractors and consultants;
- Increased competition and reduced procurement barriers;
- Enhanced capacity for dispute resolution and contract enforcement;
- Reduction of corruption and malpractices through digital tools, accountability frameworks, and civic engagement;
- Improved quality of project delivery through professionalization and standardization;
- Strengthened human resources and sector-specific skills development aligned with industry needs.

Cross-cutting measures such as the institutionalization of transparent procurement systems, promotion of public-private dialogue, digital contractor registries, and the establishment of independent regulatory and dispute resolution bodies are essential for sustaining momentum.

Ultimately, the goal is not simply to improve Ethiopia's ease of doing business indicators, but to catalyze a fundamental shift in the construction sector's culture, performance, and contribution to national development. A sector grounded in trust, accountability, professional integrity, and innovation will serve as a foundation for inclusive growth, resilient infrastructure, and improved service delivery.

It is hoped that by implementing the proposed framework, Ethiopia positions itself to transition from a fragmented, low-efficiency construction environment to a competitive, productive, and corruption-resilient industry that serves the public interest and accelerates the nation's development aspirations.

Annex 1: Ease of Doing Business Indicator Matrix in Ethiopia's Construction Sector

To be developed with Sector's professionals soon.

No	Indicator	Purpose	Description	Measurement Method	Responsible
1	**Regulatory Environment for Starting and Operating a Business**	To evaluate how conducive the regulatory framework is for initiating and managing construction businesses.	Assesses key administrative and legal processes affecting market entry and operations. Sub-indicators include: a) Business registration b) Licensing procedures c) Access to fee/tax information d) Securing work/residence permits e) Regulatory predictability.	Survey-based perception rating: 1 – Very Easy, 2 – Easy, 3 – Moderate, 4 – Hard, 5 – Very Hard. Complemented by the number of procedures/days/cost to register and operate a business.	

2	**Access to Finance**	To determine the extent to which financial access supports business entry, operation, and expansion.	Sub-indicators include: a) Access to credit and working capital; b) Interest rate affordability; c) Availability of foreign currency (USD); d) Presence of financial guarantees or instruments for contractors (e.g. advance payment guarantees).	Survey-based ranking (scale 1–5); supplemented by the percentage of firms reporting financing constraints and average loan approval time/cost.	
3	**Business Density**	To assess the vibrancy and competitiveness of the construction market.	Measures the number of active construction firms in relation to the volume of major public infrastructure projects. Serves as a proxy for competition and accessibility of opportunities.	Number of licensed contractors per USD X million of public infrastructure investment; disaggregated by project size and region.	

9. References

Alemayehu G Mariam, Ethiopia: Corruption in the construction sector, July 22, 2013

ASCE (American Society of Civil Engineers). 2005. “Combating Corruption.” Policy Statement 510, drawing on data from Transparency International and adopted by the Board of Direction, ASCE, Reston, VA.

Campos, J. Edgardo, and Sanjay Pradhan. 2007. The Many Faces of Corruption: Tracking Vulnerabilities at the Sector Level. Washington, DC: World Bank.

CEPHEUS Sector Research Ethiopia, Ease of Doing Business in Ethiopia:Issues, Reforms, and Progress, October 4, 2019, Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.

Diagnosing Corruption in Ethiopia: Perceptions, Realities, and the Way Forward for Key Sectors Janelle Plummer, Editor, World Bank, Washington D.C. 2012.

Ethiopia’s *10-Year Development Plan (2021-2030)*.

World Bank (2012). Diagnosing Corruption in Ethiopia.

Transparency International (2020). Global Corruption Barometer.